

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,140	11/21/2000	Brian Hawtin	2000-0702.OR	6011
7590 10/16/2003			EXAMINER	
Mark J Burns			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
1130 TCF Tower 121 South Eighth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402			1617	75
			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/701,140	HAWTIN, BRIAN			
<del>.</del>	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lauren Q Wells	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a			
<u> </u>	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Ia ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The offee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the first (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	f extension and the corresponding amou he shortened statutory period for reply o e later than three months after the maili	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR</li> </ol>	t 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	•			
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		·			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for r application in condition for allowance because: See	econsideration has been consideration Sheet.	tered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would not be a supplement of the proposed amendment of the proposed amendm	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an vor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,9,11-13,15,17,21-23,28 and 31</u>	<u>1</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		•			
0. Other:		- I			
	SAEENI PA SUPERVISORY	ADMANABHAN PATENT EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claim 1 adds a new limitation that will require further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 35 USC 103 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 7/1/03, Paper No. 20; b) Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the two references and that the Examiner has picked and chosen from various parts of the references. This argument is not persuasive and was addressed in the previous Office Action. Please see the previous Office Action. Applicant argues that the declarations filed 5/19/03 are persuasive to show unexpected results. This argument is not persuasive and the reasons that the declarations were not persuasive were addressed in the previous Office Action. Applicant argues that he has satisfied a long-felt need in the art. This argument is not persuasive. Again, the Examiner respectfully directs Applicant to the Previous Office Action, wherein the Examiner stated why Applicant's arguments of Long-Felt need were not persuasive and wherein the Examiner directed Applicant to the MPEP for details in establishing Long-Felt need. Applicant's declaration filed with the After Final Response of 9/29/03, is not found persuasive. Again, Applicant has failed to provide a comparison with the closest prior art. Regarding Applicant's statements in the declaration of satisfying Long-Felt Need the Examiner again respectfully directs Applicant to MPEP 716.04 which clearly states the criteria for establishing long felt need.